

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/626,758	07/27/2000	Jun Wang	QCPA675C	5828	
23696	7590 12/17/2003	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Qualcomm	Incorporated	JUNG,	JUNG, MIN		
Patents Depa			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2663	4	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·-						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/626,758	WANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Min Jung	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	<u>luly 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Adminer. Note the attached Office	Action of formal 10-102.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the service of the servic	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). t of the certified copies not received it priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(erst sentence of the specification or covisional application has been received it priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) D Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/626,758

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutler, Jr. et al., US 5,678,184 (Cutler).

Cutler discloses a method of pre-computation of candidate handoff cell list for cellular communications. Specifically, Cutler teaches a method for performing handoff within a mobile communication system, the mobile communication system having at least one first communication device (ISU 26) for communicating with a plurality of second communication devices (SCD) (satellite 12), comprising the steps of transmitting from at least one of the SCDs to the first communication device a handoff list of SCDs to which the first communication device is permitted to handoff when in a system access state. See col. 4, lines 41-45, and col. 8, lines 26-39. Cutler, however, fails to teach the step of transmitting a channel assignment message from each of the SCDs in the handoff list. Cutler teaches, instead, the transmission of the channel identification along with the handoff cell list. In other words, the channel information of each of the cells in the handoff list is sent from the satellite to the ISU. See col. 8, lines 26-39. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement

Application/Control Number: 09/626,758

Art Unit: 2663

the Cutler system by having each of the satellites for the respective cells in the handoff list to send the channel information directly to the ISU instead of having the current satellite prepare the channel information for transmission to the ISU, since it is well known for base stations in neighbor cell to send out information in a pilot channel for the subscribers in the neighboring cell to detect and use.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,178,164. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/626,758

Art Unit: 2663

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-2, and 4-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,178,164. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because leaving out the limitation of a single controller controlling the all the SCDs in the handoff list would have been an obvious variation of the invention since one of ordinary skill in the art can make variation within the teaching.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Garncarz et al. patent, the Olds et al. patent, the Lupien et al. patent, and the Balachandran patent are cited for further references.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 703-305-4363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

ΜJ

December 12, 2003

Min Jung

Primary Examiner